

ON WEIERSTRASS POINTS AND OPTIMAL CURVES

RAINER FUHRMANN AND FERNANDO TORRES

ABSTRACT. We use Weierstrass Point Theory and Frobenius orders to prove the uniqueness (up to isomorphism) of some optimal curves.

This paper continues the study, begun in [FT] and [FGT], of curves over finite fields with many rational points, based on Stöhr-Voloch's approach [SV] to the Hasse-Weil bound by way of Weierstrass Point Theory and Frobenius orders. Some of the results were announced in [T].

A projective geometrically irreducible non-singular algebraic curve $X | \mathbb{F}_q$ of genus g is said to be *optimal* if

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \max\{\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) : Y | \mathbb{F}_q \text{ curve of genus } g\}.$$

Optimal curves occupy a distinguished niche, for example, in coding theory after Goppa's [Go]. We recall that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is bounded from above by the Hasse-Weil bound, namely

$$q + 2g\sqrt{q} + 1.$$

The main goal of this paper is to sharpen and generalize some results in [FGT]. In that paper Garcia and us improved and generalized previous results obtained by Rück-Stichtenoth's [R-Sti] and Stichtenoth-Xing's [Sti-X].

We will mainly concerned with the uniqueness (up to $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphism) of some optimal curves. Roughly speaking, firstly the Zeta Function of the curve is used to define a linear system on the curve. Then, Stöhr-Voloch's [SV] is used to obtain the desired property.

We distinguish two cases according as q is a square or not. In the first case, say $q = \ell^2$, we look for curves $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ that attain the Hasse-Weil bound, that is, the so-called maximal curves. These curves were studied in [Sti-X], [Geer-Vl] (see also the references therein), [FT] and [FGT]. X is equipped with the linear system $\mathcal{D} := |(\ell + 1)P_0|$, $P_0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ (cf. [FGT, §1], §2 here) and from the application of [SV] to $\mathcal{D}$, Garcia and us deduced properties on the genus and the uniqueness (up to $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphism) of X for some values of genus (cf. [FT], [op. cit.]). The following theorem follows from [FT] and Proposition 2.5; it improves [FGT, Thm. 3.1] and is a typical example of the

The paper was partially written while Torres was visiting the University of Essen (supported by the Graduiertenkolleg "Theoretische und experimentelle Methoden der Reinen Mathematik"); ICTP, Trieste -Italy (supported by ICTP) and IMPA, Rio de Janeiro - Brazil (supported by Cnpq).

results obtained here. We recall that the biggest genus that X can have is $\ell(\ell - 1)/2$ (cf. Ihara's [Ih]).

Theorem 1. *Let $X|\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ be a maximal curve of genus g and ℓ odd. If $g > (\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)/4$, then*

1. X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to the Hermitian curve $y^\ell + y = x^{\ell+1}$ so that $g = (\ell - 1)\ell/2$
or
2. X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to the plane curve $y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/2}$ so that $g = (\ell - 1)^2/4$.

Theorem 1(1) is valid without restricting the parity of ℓ if $g > (\ell - 1)^2/4$. Indeed, several characterizations of Hermitian curves have already been given, see for example [HSTV] (and the references therein), [R-Sti] and [FT]. See also Theorem 2.4.

Furthermore, we show that the morphism associated to $\mathcal{D}$ is an embedding. Hence we improve [FGT, Prop. 1.10], that is, we can compute the genus of maximal curves under a hypothesis on non-gaps at $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -rational points (see §2.3).

Now we discuss the case $\sqrt{q} \notin \mathbb{N}$. Besides some curves of small genus, see for example [Car-He], the only known examples of optimal curves, in this case, are the Deligne-Lusztig curves associated to the Suzuki group $Sz(q)$ and to the Ree group $R(q)$ [De-Lu, §11], [Han]. They were studied in [Han-Sti], [Han], [P] and [Han-P]. Hansen and Pedersen [Han-P, Thm. 1] stated the uniqueness, up to $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphism, of the curve corresponding to $R(q)$ based on the genus, the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points, and the group of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -automorphisms of the curve. They observed a similar result for the curve corresponding to $Sz(q)$ (cf. [Han-P, p.100]) as a consequence of its uniqueness up to $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphism (cf. [Henn]). Hence, after [Henn] and [Han-Sti], the curve under study in §3 of this paper is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic to the plane curve given by

$$y^q - y = x^{q_0}(x^q - x),$$

where $q_0 = 2^s$ and $q = 2q_0$. This curve is equipped with the linear system $g_{q+2q_0+1}^4 = |(q + 2q_0 + 1)P_0|$, P_0 a $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational point. By applying [SV] to this linear system we prove (see §3) the

Theorem 2. *Let q_0, q be as above, $X|\mathbb{F}_q$ a curve of genus g such that: (1) $g = q_0(q - 1)$ and (2) $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^2 + 1$.*

Then X is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphic to the Deligne-Lusztig curve associated to the Suzuki group $Sz(q)$.

We remark that a Hermitian curve can be also realized as a Deligne-Lusztig curve associated to a projective special linear group (cf. [Han]). Then its uniqueness (up to $\mathbb{F}_q$) is also a consequence of its uniqueness up to $\mathbb{F}_q$ (cf. [Han-P, p.100]).

A. Cossidente brought to our attention a relation between the curve in Theorem 2 and the Suzuki-Tits ovoid. This is described in the Appendix.

It is our pleasure to thank: A. Garcia, R. Pellikaan and H. Stichtenoth for useful conversations; A. Cossidente for having let us include his observation in the Appendix. In addition, we want to thank Prof. J.F. Voloch for his interest in this work.

Convention: Throughout this paper by a curve we mean a projective geometrically irreducible non-singular algebraic curve.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we summarize some background material concerning Weierstrass Point Theory, Frobenius orders and a rational divisor arising from the Zeta Function of a curve defined over a finite field.

1.1. Weierstrass Point Theory. Here we repeat relevant material from Stöhr-Voloch's [SV, §1] (see also [Far-Kra, III.5], [Lak] and [Sch]).

Let X be a curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field k and $k(X)$ the field of rational functions on X . Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a g_d^r on X , say

$$\mathcal{D} = \{E + \operatorname{div}(f) : f \in \mathcal{D}' \setminus \{0\}\} \subseteq |E|,$$

E being an effective divisor on X with $\deg(E) = d$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ an $(r+1)$ -dimensional k -subspace of $L(E) := \{f \in k(X)^* : E + \operatorname{div}(f) \succeq 0\}$.

To any $P \in X$ one then associates the sequence of $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders

$$\{j_0(P) < \dots < j_r(P)\} := \{v_P(D) : D \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

and on X one has the so-called $\mathcal{D}$ -ramification divisor, namely

$$R = R^{\mathcal{D}} = \operatorname{div}(\det((D_x^{\epsilon_i} f_j))) + \sum_{i=0}^r \epsilon_i \operatorname{div}(dx) + (r+1)E,$$

where x is a separating variable of $k(X)|k$, D_x^i is the i th Hasse derivative, $f_0, \dots, f_r$ is a k -base of $\mathcal{D}'$, and $(\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_r)$ (called *the $\mathcal{D}$ -orders*) is the minimum in the lexicographic order of the set

$$\{(j_0, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1} : j_0 < \dots < j_r; \det((D_x^{j_i} f_j)) \neq 0\}.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad & (a) \quad \deg(R) = \sum_{P \in X} v_P(R) = \sum_{i=0}^r \epsilon_i(2g-2) + (r+1)d, \\
 & (b) \quad j_i(P) \geq \epsilon_i \quad \text{for each } i \text{ and for each } P, \\
 & (c) \quad v_P(R) \geq \sum_i (j_i(P) - \epsilon_i), \quad \text{and} \\
 & (d) \quad v_P(R) = \sum_i (j_i(P) - \epsilon_i) \Leftrightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} j_i(P) \\ \epsilon_j \end{pmatrix} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\text{char}(k)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders are $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_r$ if and only if $P \in X \setminus \text{supp}(R)$. The points in $\text{supp}(R)$ are the so-called *$\mathcal{D}$ -Weierstrass points*.

The $\mathcal{K}$ -Weierstrass points, being $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_X$ the canonical linear system on X , are the *Weierstrass points* of X . In this case $H(P) := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{j_0(P) + 1, \dots, j_{g-1}(P) + 1\}$ is the *Weierstrass semigroup* at P . We write $H(P) = \{m_0(P) = 0 < m_1(P) < \dots\}$, the element $m_i(P)$ being the i th *non-gap* at P . The curve is called *classical* iff the $\mathcal{K}$ -orders are $0, 1, \dots, g-1$ (i.e. $H(P) = \{0, g+1, g+2, \dots\}$ for $P \notin \text{supp}(R^\mathcal{K})$).

To any $P \in X$ one also associates the i th *osculating plane* $L_i(P)$: via the identification $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}')^*$ each hyperplane H in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}')$ correspond to a divisor $D_H \in \mathcal{D}$; then $L_i(P)$ is the intersection of the hyperplanes H in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D})^*$ such that $v_P(D_H) \geq j_{i+1}(P)$. Its (projective) dimension is i . In terms of projective coordinates $L_i(P)$ can be described as follows: let $f_0, \dots, f_r$ be a $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -hermitian base of $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. a k -base of $\mathcal{D}'$ such that $v_P(t^{\nu_P(E)} f_i) = j_i(P)$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$; t being a local parameter at P . Then for $i = 0, \dots, r-1$

$$(1.2) \quad L_i(P) = H_{i+1} \cap \dots \cap H_r \quad \text{with } D_{H_j} = E + \text{div}(f_j), \quad j = i+1, \dots, r.$$

1.2. Frobenius orders. In the remaining part of this paper the ground field k will be the algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements. In this subsection we summarize some results from Stöhr-Voloch's [SV, §2].

We keep the assumptions and the notations of the preceding subsection and we suppose that X and $\mathcal{D}$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We let Fr_X denote the Frobenius morphism (relative to $\mathbb{F}_q$) on X . Then X is equipped with the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius divisor associated to $\mathcal{D}$, namely

$$S = S^\mathcal{D} = \text{div} \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \circ \text{Fr}_X & \dots & f_r \circ \text{Fr}_X \\ D_x^{\nu_0} f_0 & \dots & D_x^{\nu_0} f_r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ D_x^{\nu_{r-1}} f_0 & \dots & D_x^{\nu_{r-1}} f_r \end{pmatrix} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \nu_i \text{div}(dx) + (q+r)E,$$

where x is a separating variable of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)|\mathbb{F}_q$, $f_0, \dots, f_r$ is a $\mathbb{F}_q$ -base of $\mathcal{D}'$, and $(\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{r-1})$, called *the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius orders of $\mathcal{D}$* , is the minimum in the lexicographic order of the set

$$\{(j_0, \dots, j_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^r : j_0 < \dots < j_{r-1}; \det \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \circ \text{Fr}_X & \dots & f_r \circ \text{Fr}_X \\ D_x^{j_0} f_0 & \dots & D_x^{j_0} f_r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ D_x^{j_{r-1}} f_0 & \dots & D_x^{j_{r-1}} f_r \end{pmatrix} \neq 0\}.$$

One has $X(\mathbb{F}_q) \subseteq \text{supp}(S)$,

$$(1.3) \quad \deg(S) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \nu_i \right) (2g-2) + (q+r)d,$$

$\nu_i = \epsilon_i$ for $i < I$, $\nu_i = \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i \geq I$, where $I = I^{(\mathcal{D}, q)}$ is the smallest integer such that $(f_0 \circ \text{Fr}_X, \dots, f_r \circ \text{Fr}_X)$ is a $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ -linear combination of the vectors $(D_x^{\epsilon_i} f_0, \dots, D_x^{\epsilon_i} f_r)$ with $i = 0, \dots, I$. For $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ one also has

$$(1.4) \quad \nu_i \leq j_{i+1}(P) - j_1(P) \quad i = 0, \dots, r-1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.5) \quad v_P(S) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (j_{i+1}(P) - \nu_i).$$

1.3. A $\mathbb{F}_q$ -divisor from the Zeta Function. In this subsection we generalize [FGT, Lemma 1.1] and its corollaries. Let $X|\mathbb{F}_q$ be a curve and $h(t) = h_{X,q}(t)$ its *h -polynomial*, i.e. the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism $\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{J}}$ of the Jacobian $\mathcal{J}$ (over $\mathbb{F}_q$) of X . We let $\prod_{i=1}^T h_i^{r_i}(t)$ denote the factorization over $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $h(t)$. Because of the semisimplicity of $\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and the faithfulness of the representation of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{J}$ on the Tate module (cf. [Tate, Thm. 2], [L, VI, §3]), we then have

$$(*) \quad \prod_{i=1}^T h_i(\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{J}}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{J}.$$

Throughout this subsection we set

$$\sum_{i=1}^U \alpha_i t^{U-i} + t^U := \prod_{i=1}^T h_i(t),$$

we assume that X has at least one $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational point, say P_0 , and set

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{(X, q, P_0)} := ||m|P_0| \quad \text{with} \quad m := \prod_{i=1}^T h_i(1).$$

As $f \circ \text{Fr}_X = \text{Fr}_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Fr}_X$, $f = f^{P_0}$ being the natural map from X to $\mathcal{J}$ given by $P \mapsto [P - P_0]$, $\text{Eq} (*)$ is equivalent to

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^U \alpha_i \text{Fr}_X^{U-i}(P) + \text{Fr}_X^U(P) \sim mP_0.$$

This suggests the

Problem. Study the relation among the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points, the Weierstrass points, the $\mathcal{D}$ -Weierstrass points, and the support of the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius divisor associated to $\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 1.1. 1. *If $P, Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then $mP \sim mQ$. In particular, $|m|$ is a non-gap at each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ provided that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq 2$.*
 2. *If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) \nmid m$ and $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq 2g + 3$, then there exists $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $|m| - 1$ and $|m|$ are non-gap at P_1 .*

Proof. (1) It follows immediately from (1.6). (2) (The proof is inspired by [Sti-X, Prop. 1].) By item (1) (and $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) \nmid m$) there exists a separable morphism $x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ with $\text{div}(x) = |m|P_0 - |m|Q$, $P_0, Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let n denote the number of unramified rational points for x . By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula we find that $n \geq \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - 2g - 2$ so that $n > 0$ by the hypothesis on $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{P_0, Q\}$ such that $\text{div}(x - \alpha) = P_1 + D - mQ$ with $P_1, Q \notin \text{supp}(D)$. Let $y \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ be such that $\text{div}(y) = |m|Q - |m|P_1$ (cf. item (1)). Then from the rational function $(x - \alpha)y$ we obtain item (2). $\square$

It follows that the definition of $\mathcal{D}$ is independent of $P_0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the

Corollary 1.2. 1. *If $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is base-point-free.*
 2. *If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) \nmid m$ and $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq 2g + 3$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is simple.*

Let us assume further that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq 2$ and that

$$(Z) \quad m > 0, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_U \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{i+1} \geq \alpha_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, U - 1.$$

Remark. There exist h -polynomials that do not satisfy (Z); see, e.g. [Car-He].

We set $r := \dim(\mathcal{D})$, i.e. $m_r(P) = m$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (cf. Lemma 1.1(1)). We keep the notations of the preceding subsections.

Lemma 1.3. 1. *The $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders for $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are $j_i(P) = m - m_{r-i}(P)$, $i = 0, \dots, r$.*
 2. *$j_1(P) = 1$ for $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In particular, $\epsilon_1 = 1$.*
 3. *The numbers $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_U$ are $\mathcal{D}$ -orders, so that $r \geq U + 1$.*
 4. *If $\text{Fr}_X^i(P) \neq P$ for $i = 1, \dots, U + 1$, then α_U is a non-gap at P . In particular α_U is a generic non-gap of X .*

5. If $\text{Fr}_X^i(P) \neq P$ for $i = 1, \dots, U$, but $\text{Fr}_X^{U+1}(P) = P$, then $\alpha_U - 1$ is a non-gap at P .
6. If $g \geq \alpha_U$, then X is non-classical.

Proof. Items (1), (2) and (3) can be proved as in [FGT, Thm. 1.4(iii), Prop. 1.5(iii)]. To prove (4), (5) and (6) we apply $(\text{Fr}_X)_*$, as in [Har, IV, Ex. 2.6], to the equivalence in (1.6). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^U \alpha_i \text{Fr}_X^{U-i}(P) + \text{Fr}_X^U(P) \sim \alpha_1 \text{Fr}_X^U(P) + \sum_{i=1}^{U-1} \alpha_{i+1} \text{Fr}_X^{U-i}(P) + \text{Fr}_X^{U+1}(P)$$

so that

$$\alpha_U P \sim \text{Fr}_X^{U+1}(P) + (\alpha_1 - 1) \text{Fr}_X^U(P) + \sum_{i=1}^{U-1} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) \text{Fr}_X^{U-i}(P).$$

Now the results follow. $\square$

Corollary 1.4. *If $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) > q(m - \alpha_U) + 1$, then $j_{r-1}(P) < \alpha_U$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3(1), $j_{r-1}(P) = m - m_1(P)$, and by [Le, Thm. 1(b)], $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq 1 + qm_1(P)$. Then $j_{r-1}(P) \geq \alpha_U$ implies $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq q(m - \alpha_U) + 1$. $\square$

Corollary 1.5. 1. $\epsilon_r = \nu_{r-1} = \alpha_U$;
2. $X(\mathbb{F}_q) \subseteq \text{supp}(R^{\mathcal{D}})$.

Proof. (1) By (1.1)(b), $\epsilon_{r-1} \leq j_{r-1}$. Since α_U is a $\mathcal{D}$ -order (Lemma 1.3(3)) we conclude that $\epsilon_r = \alpha_U$. Now from (1.6) and (1.2) we have that $\text{Fr}_X(P)$ belong to the $(r-1)$ -th osculating hyperplane; thus $\nu_{r-1} = \epsilon_r$.

(2) By Lemma 1.3(1) for each rational point $j_r(P) = m$. Since $m > \alpha_U$, the result follows from (1.1)(c). $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *If $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq q\alpha_U + 1$, then $m_1(P) = q$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

Proof. Let $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By (1.1)(b), applied to the canonical linear system, we have $m_1(P) \leq m_1(Q)$, Q being a generic point of X . Then $m_1(P) \leq \alpha_U$ by Lemma 1.3(4). On the other hand, by [Le, Thm. 1(b)] and the hypothesis on $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we get $m_1(P) \geq \alpha_U$ and we are done. $\square$

2. MAXIMAL CURVES

In this section we shall be dealing with maximal curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ or equivalently with curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose h -polynomial is $(t + \sqrt{q})^{2g}$, $g > 0$ being the genus of the curve. We set $\ell := \sqrt{q}$. Then, by §1.3, each maximal curve $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ is equipped with the linear system

$$\mathcal{D} := |(\ell + 1)P_0| \quad P_0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}),$$

which will be fixed throughout the entire section. Notice that X satisfies the hypotheses in Lemma 1.1 and (Z) in §1.3. By Corollary 1.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is simple and base-point-free; by Lemma 1.3(3), $\dim(\mathcal{D}) \geq 2$; for each $P \in X$ relation (1.6) reads ([FGT, Corollary 1.2])

$$(2.1) \quad \ell P + \text{Fr}_X(P) \sim (\ell + 1)P_0.$$

Then, for each $P \in X$

$$(2.2) \quad m_0(P) = 0 < m_1(P) < \dots < m_n(P) \leq \ell < m_{n+1}(P),$$

where $n + 1 := \dim(\mathcal{D})$. We keep the notations of the preceding section.

2.1. Known results. The results of this subsection have been noticed in [FGT, §1].

2.1.1. By Corollary 1.5(1), $\epsilon_{n+1} = \nu_n = \ell$; this together with [FT] and [Hef-V, Proof of Thm. 1] imply: $\nu_1 = \ell \Leftrightarrow n + 1 = 2$, and $\nu_1 = 1 \Leftrightarrow n + 1 \geq 3$.

2.1.2. Let $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. By Lemma 1.3(1), $j_i(P) = \ell + 1 - m_{n+1-i}(P)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$. Then $j_{n+1}(P) = m_{n+1}(P) = \ell + 1$. The case $i = \ell$ in (1.5) gives $j_1(P) = 1$ so that $m_n(P) = \ell$.

2.1.3. Let $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. We assume $n + 1 \geq 3$ (the case $n + 1 = 2$ has been studied in [FT]; see also Theorem 2.4 here). From (2.1), $j_1(P) = 1$, and $m_n(P) = \ell$ whenever $\text{Fr}_X^2(P) \neq P$. Furthermore, by (1.1) and [Ho, Thm. 1], $m_{n-1}(P) = \ell - 1$ if P is not a Weierstrass point of X .

Set $m_i := m_i(P)$, $u_0 := 1$; let $u = u(P)$, $u_i = u_i(P) \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}(X)$ such that $\text{div}(u_i) = D_i - m_i P$, $P \notin \text{supp}(D_i)$, and $\text{div}(u) = \ell P + \text{Fr}_X(P) - (\ell + 1)P_0$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad (\ell + 1)P_0 + \text{div}(uu_i) = D_i + \text{Fr}_X(P) + (\ell - m_i)P,$$

and so $0, 1, \ell - m_n, \dots, \ell - m_0$ are $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders.

2.1.4. The $\mathcal{D}$ -orders. Let $\tilde{m}_i$ denote the i th non-gap at a generic point. Then, by §2.1.3, the $\mathcal{D}$ -orders are

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_0 = \ell - \tilde{m}_n < \epsilon_1 = 1 = \ell - \tilde{m}_{n-1} < \dots < \epsilon_{n-J} = \ell - \tilde{m}_J < \epsilon_{n-J+1} < \\ \epsilon_{n-J+2} = \ell - \tilde{m}_{J-1} < \dots < \epsilon_{n+1} = \ell - \tilde{m}_0, \end{aligned}$$

with $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq J \leq n - 1$.

2.2. The $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -Frobenius orders of $\mathcal{D}$.

Proposition. *With the notations of §2.1.4, the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -Frobenius orders of $\mathcal{D}$ are*

$$\{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n+1}\} \setminus \{\epsilon_{n-J+1}\}.$$

Proof. For P a generic point of X let $u, u_i \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}(X)$ be as in §2.1.3, and $v \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}(X)$ such that

$$(\ell + 1)P_0 + \text{div}(v) = \epsilon_{n-J+1}P + D_v \quad P \notin \text{supp}(D_v).$$

From this equation and (2.3) we have that $\{uu_n, uu_{n-1}, \dots, uu_J, v, uu_{J-1}, \dots, uu_0\}$ is a $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -hermitian base of $\mathcal{D}$. Hence, by (1.2), $\text{Fr}_X(P) \in L_{n-J+1}(P)$. Now the result follows from the

Claim. $\text{Fr}_X(P) \notin L_{n-J}(P)$.

Indeed, if $\text{Fr}_X(P) \in L_{n-J}(P)$ then $\text{Fr}_X(P) \in \text{supp}(D_v)$; hence, by (2.1), we would have $\ell - \epsilon_{n-J+1} \in H(P)$ -a contradiction. $\square$

Remark. A slight modification of the above proof shows that each point $P \in \text{supp}(S^{\mathcal{D}}) \setminus X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ is a Weierstrass point of X ([GT]).

2.3. The morphism associated to $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2})$ be the morphism associated to $\mathcal{D}$.

Proposition. π is a closed embedding, i.e. X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to $\pi(X)$.

Proof. By [FGT, Prop. 1.9], we have to show that $m_n(P) = \ell$ for each $P \in X$. By §2.1.2 we can assume that $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. For such a P , suppose that $m_n(P) < \ell$; then, as $j_1(P) = 1$ and $j_{n+1}(P) = \ell$, the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders would be

$$0, 1 = \ell - m_n(P), \ell - m_{n-1}(P), \dots, \ell - m_1(P), \ell.$$

Hence (1.2) and (2.3) would imply $\text{Fr}_X(P) \in L_1(P)$. On the other hand, the hyperplane corresponding to the function $uu_n(P)$ in §2.1.3 contains P (with multiplicity 1) and $\text{Fr}_X(P)$; thus it contains $L_1(P)$. This is a contradiction because the multiplicity of $L_1(P)$ at P is at least $j_2(P) = \ell - m_{n-1}(P) \geq 2$. $\square$

Now [FGT, Prop. 1.10] can be state without the hypothesis on π :

Corollary. *Let $X|_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}}$ be a maximal curve of genus g . For some $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ suppose that there exist $a, b \in H(P)$ such that all non-gaps less than or equal to $\ell + 1$ are generated by a and b . Then $H(P) = \langle a, b \rangle$, so that $g = (a - 1)(b - 1)/2$.*

2.4. Weierstrass points and maximal curves. In this section we show that each $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -rational point of X is a Weierstrass point of the curve provided that g is large enough. First we notice that (2.2) implies $g \geq \ell - n$ and that

$$g = \ell - n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \{\ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots, \} \subseteq H(P) \quad \forall P \in X.$$

Since ℓ is a non-gap for a non-Weierstrass point, cf. §2.1.3, (2.2) also implies ([FGT, Prop. 1.7(i)])

$$X \text{ classical} \quad \Rightarrow \quad g = \ell - n.$$

We remark that $g = \ell - n$ does not characterize classical maximal curves; see e.g. [FGT, Prop. 1.8].

The following results are contained in the proof of [F, Satz II.2.5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X|\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ be a maximal curve of genus g and P a non-Weierstrass point of X . If $\ell + 1 \in H(P)$, then $\ell + 1, \dots, 2\ell \in H(P)$. In particular, since $\ell \in H(P)$, $\{\ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots\} \subseteq H(P)$ and $g = \ell - n$.*

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $\ell + i \notin H(P)$; then $\binom{\ell+i-1}{\ell} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})}$. Hence, by the p -adic criterion [SV, Corollary 1.9], $\ell + 1 \notin H(P)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. $g = \ell - n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ell + 1$ is a non-gap at a non-Weierstrass point of X .

Corollary 2.3. *If $g > \ell - n$, then*

$$X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) \subseteq \text{set of Weierstrass points of } X.$$

Proof. It follows from the above corollary and §2.1.2. $\square$

Remark. There exists maximal curves with $g = \ell - n$ where no $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -rational point is Weierstrass, see e.g. the remark after Proposition 2.5. The hypothesis $g > \ell - n$ is satisfied if $g \geq \max(2, \ell - 1)$; indeed, $g = \ell - 1 \leq \ell - n$ implies $n = 1$, i.e. $g = (\ell - 1)\ell/2$ ([FT]) and so $g \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Remark. Let $X|\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ be non-hyperelliptic and maximal of genus g . Denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the set of Weierstrass points of X ($= \text{supp}(R^{K_X})$). Corollary 2.3 implies

$$\#\mathcal{W} > \begin{cases} 16 & \text{if } g = 3, \\ 25 & \text{if } g = 6, \\ \max 3(g + 2), 4(g - 1) & \text{if } g \neq 3, 6. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we can use Pflaum's [Pf, Corollary 2.6, Proof of Theorem 1.6] to describe the isomorphism-class (over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}$) and the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ (also over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}$) of X via Weierstrass points. In fact, we conclude that the isomorphism-class of maximal curves is determined by their constellations of Weierstrass points and that

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong \{A \in PGL(g, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}) : A\rho(\mathcal{W}) = \rho(\mathcal{W})\},$$

where $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2})$ is the canonical embedding. Notice that, as the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ associated to $\mathcal{D}$ is an embedding (§2.3), (2.1) implies

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}}(X) \cong \{A \in \mathrm{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) : A\pi(X) = \pi(X)\}.$$

2.5. On the genus of maximal curves. It has been noticed in [FT] that the genus g of a maximal curve $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ satisfies

$$g \leq (\ell - 1)^2/4 \quad \text{or} \quad g = (\ell - 1)\ell/2,$$

which was conjectured by Stichtenoth and Xing (cf. [Sti-X]). Moreover, we have the

Theorem 2.4. *The following statements are equivalent*

1. X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to $y^\ell + y = x^{\ell+1}$ (the Hermitian curve over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$);
2. $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ maximal with $g > (\ell - 1)^2/4$;
3. $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ maximal with $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 2$.

It is well known that $y^\ell + y = x^{\ell+1}$ is a maximal curve over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ of genus $(\ell - 1)\ell/2$; (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows by Castelnuovo's genus bound for curves in projective spaces [FT, Claim 1]; (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is the main result of [FT]. Next we write a new proof of this implication.

Proof. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : By §2.1, $(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (0, 1, \ell)$, $(\nu_0, \nu_1) = (0, \ell)$, and $(j_0(P), j_1(P), j_2(P)) = (0, 1, \ell + 1)$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. Hence (1.1)(a)(c) imply $g = (\ell - 1)\ell/2$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}(X)$ with $\mathrm{div}_\infty(x) = \ell P_0$ and $\mathrm{div}_\infty(y) = (\ell + 1)P_0$. Then $H(P_0) = \langle \ell, \ell + 1 \rangle$ and so $\mathrm{div}(dx) = (2g - 2)P_0 (*)$, because $H(P_0)$ is symmetric. By $\nu_1 = \ell$ we have an equation of type (cf. §1.2)

$$(2.4) \quad y^{\ell^2} - y = f(x^{\ell^2} - x),$$

with $f := D^1 y$ (derivation with respect to x); by $\epsilon_2 = \ell$ we have the following two-rank matrices (cf. §1.1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & D^{(1)}y \\ 0 & 0 & D^{(j)}y \end{pmatrix}, \quad 2 \leq j < \epsilon_2 = \ell.$$

By (*) and (2.4), $\mathrm{div}_\infty(f) = \ell^2 P_0$. Now $D^{(j)}y = 0$ for $2 \leq j < \ell$ and (2.4) imply $D^{(j)}f = 0$ for $1 \leq j < \ell$. Thus, by [Ha-Sch, Satz 10], there exists $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}(X)$ such that $f = f_1^\ell$. Therefore $f_1 = ax + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$, $a \neq 0$, and after some $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear transformations we obtain an equation of type

$$y_1^\ell + y_1 - x_1^{\ell+1} = (y_1^\ell + y_1 - x_1^{\ell+1})^\ell,$$

with $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. Now the proof follows. □

Remark. Let X be the Hermitian curve over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$. From the above proof we have $\mathcal{K}_X = (\ell - 2)\mathcal{D}$ and $(j_0(P), j_1(P), j_2(P)) = (0, 1, \ell)$ for each $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. Then the $(\mathcal{K}_X, P)$ -orders contains $\{a + b\ell : a, b \geq 0, a + b \leq \ell - 2\}$ (resp. $\{a + b(\ell + 1) : a, b \geq 0, a + b \leq \ell - 2\}$) if $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ (resp. $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$). Since these sets have cardinality equal to $g = (\ell - 1)\ell/2$, these are the $(\mathcal{K}_X, P)$ -orders; hence

$$X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) = \text{supp}(R^{\mathcal{D}}) = \text{supp}(R^{\mathcal{K}_X}) (= \text{set of Weierstrass points of } X),$$

and we have another proof of the fact that X is non-classical (compare with Lemma 1.3(6)). The above computations have been carried out in [G-Vi]. We mention that the first examples of non-classical curves were obtained from certain Hermitian curves (see [Sch]).

Now let us consider the following property for the maximal curve $X \mid \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ of genus g (recall that $n + 1 = \dim(\mathcal{D})$):

$$(2.5) \quad \exists P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) \quad \exists m \in H(P_1) \quad \text{such that } mn \leq \ell + 1.$$

Then $mn = \ell + 1$ or $mn = \ell$. In both cases the hypothesis of the corollary in §2.3 is satisfied; in particular, $g = (\ell - 1)(m - 1)/2$ or $g = \ell(m - 1)/2$.

Case $mn = \ell + 1$. This occurs iff X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to $y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/n}$ [FGT, Thm. 2.3]) (so that $g = \frac{\ell-1}{2}(\frac{\ell+1}{n} - 1)$). Hence there exists maximal curves of genus $(\ell - 1)^2/4$ and indeed, $y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/2}$ is the unique maximal curve (up to $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphism) having such a genus ([FGT, Thm. 3.1]). Before we consider the case $mn = \ell$ we prove an analogue of (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) of Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $X \mid \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ be a maximal curve of genus g and assume that ℓ is odd. Then the following statements are equivalent*

1. X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to $y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/2}$;
2. $(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)/4 < g \leq (\ell - 1)^2/4$.

Item (1) (or (2)) implies 3. $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 3$.

Proof. We already noticed that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). That (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows by Castelnuovo's genus bound for curves in projective spaces [C], [ACGH, p. 116], [Ra, Corollary 2.8].

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : The cases $\ell \leq 5$ are trivial, so let $\ell > 5$. According to [FGT, Thm. 2.3], we look for a rational point P such that there exists $m \in H(P)$ with $2m = \ell + 1$. Let $m_1 := m_1(P) < \ell < \ell + 1$ be the first three positive non-gaps at $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. By §2.1.2 the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders are $0, 1, j = \ell + 1 - m_1, \ell + 1$. Notice that ℓ odd implies $2m_1 \geq \ell + 1$ and hence that $2j \leq \ell + 1$.

Set $2\mathcal{D} := |2(\ell + 1)P_0|$; $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 3$ implies $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) \geq 8$; the lower bound on g implies (once again via Castelnuovo's bound) $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) = 8$. The $(2\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders ($P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$)

contains the set $\{0, 1, 2, j, j+1, 2j, \ell+1, \ell+2, \ell+j+1, 2\ell+2\}$; therefore $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) = 8$ implies $j = 2$ (i.e. $m_1(P) = \ell - 1$) or $2j = \ell + 1$ (i.e. $m_1(P) = (\ell + 1)/2$) and we have to show that it is not possible to have $m_1(P) = \ell - 1$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$.

Suppose that $m_1(P) = \ell - 1$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$. Then the $\mathcal{D}$ -orders are $0, 1, 2, \ell$ and so $v_P(R_1) = 1$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ (R_1 being the $\mathcal{D}$ -ramification divisor). Then, by (1.1),

$$\deg(R_1) - \#X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) = 3(2g - 2) - (\ell - 3)(\ell + 1).$$

Claim. For each $P \in \text{supp}(R_1) \setminus X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders are $0, 1, (\ell + 1)/2, \ell$.

In fact, for such a P the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders are $0, 1, i, \ell$ with $i = i(P) > 2$, and

$$\{0, 1, 2, i, i+1, 2i, \ell, \ell+1, \ell+i, 2\ell\}$$

is contained in the $(2\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders; thus $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) = 8$ implies $i \in \{(\ell + 1)/2, \ell - 1\}$.

Suppose that $i = \ell - 1$; by (2.1) there exists $Q_1, Q_2 \in X \setminus \{P\}$ such that $P + \text{Fr}_X(P) \sim Q_1 + Q_2$, i.e. X is hyperelliptic. This implies $g \leq (\ell - 1)/2$ (see e.g. [Le, Thm. 1(b)]) and from the hypothesis on g that $\ell < 4$, a contradiction.

By the claim and (1.1)(d), for each $P \in \text{supp}(R_1) \setminus X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$, $v_P(R_1) = (\ell - 3)/2$ and

$$A := \#(\text{supp}(R_1) \setminus X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})) = \frac{6(2g - 2)}{\ell - 3} - 2(\ell + 1).$$

With the above computations we analyze $(2\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders for $P \in \text{supp}(R_1)$. We have:

$$(2\mathcal{D}, P)\text{-orders} = \begin{cases} 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \ell + 3, 2\ell + 2 & \text{if } P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}); \\ 0, 1, 2, (\ell + 1)/2, (\ell + 3)/2, \ell, \ell + 1, (3\ell + 1)/2, 2\ell & \text{if } P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}). \end{cases}$$

Denote by R_2 the $2\mathcal{D}$ -ramification divisor. Being $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ell, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, 2\ell$ the $2\mathcal{D}$ -orders we then have

$$v_P(R_2) \geq \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}); \\ (3\ell - 13)/2 & \text{if } P \in \text{supp}(R_1) \setminus X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}). \end{cases}$$

Then, again by (1.1),

$$\deg(R_2) = (5\ell + 13)(2g - 2) + 18(\ell + 1) \geq 5\#X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) + \frac{3\ell - 13}{2}A,$$

which implies $2g - 2 \geq (\ell - 3)(\ell + 1)/2$, i.e. $2g - 2 = (\ell - 3)(\ell + 1)/2$ due to the upper bound on g . By [FGT, Thm. 3.1] we then conclude that $A = 0$, i.e. $2g - 2 = (\ell - 3)(\ell + 1)/3$, a contradiction. This complete the proof. $\square$

Remark. Let $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ be maximal of genus g and suppose that $(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)/6 < g \leq (\ell - 1)^2/4$. If $X \not\cong y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/2}$, then $(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)/6 < g \leq (\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)/4$ by the last proposition. Cossidente and Korchmaros [Co-K] constructed a maximal curve $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ with $g = (\ell + 1)(\ell - 2)/6$ and $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. By Castelnuovo's genus bound,

the linear system $\mathcal{D}$ of this curve satisfies $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 3$; so this example shows that (3) does not imply (1).

Remark. For $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ there are at least two non $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphism maximal curves over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ with $g = \frac{\ell-1}{2}(\frac{\ell+1}{4} - 1)$ and $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 5$, namely

$$(1) \quad y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/4} \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \quad x^{(\ell+1)/2} + y^{(\ell+1)/2} = 1.$$

Indeed, the curve in (2) admits points P with $H(P) = \langle (\ell-1)/2, (\ell+1)/2 \rangle$ (e.g. P over a root of $x^{\ell+1} = 1$) and is well known that such semigroups cannot be realized by (1) ([G-Vi]).

These examples show that one cannot expect the uniqueness of a maximal curve just by means of a given genus. It also shows that the hypothesis on non-gaps of [FGT, Prop. 1.10, Thm. 2.3] cannot be relaxed.

The curve (2) have been considered by Hirschfeld and Korchmaros [HK]. They noticed an interesting bound for the number of rational points of a curve; the curve in (2) attains such a bound.

Remark. In view of the above examples and [Co-K]'s letter is reasonable to make the following conjectures. Let $X | \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ be a maximal curve of genus g .

(1) Let ℓ be odd. If $\ell \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $(\ell-1)(\ell-2)/6 < g \leq (\ell-1)^2/4$ iff $g = (\ell-1)^2/4$ (i.e. X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to $y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/2}$). If $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $(\ell-1)(\ell-2)/6 < g \leq (\ell-1)^2$ iff $g = (\ell+1)(\ell-2)/6$ or $g = (\ell-1)^2/4$.

(2) With the exception of finitely many ℓ 's and if $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $(\ell-1)(\ell-3)/8 < g \leq (\ell-1)(\ell-2)/6$ iff X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to $y^\ell + y = x^{(\ell+1)/3}$ (in particular $g = (\ell-1)(\ell-2)/6$).

Case $mn = \ell$. Now we assume (2.5) with $mn = \ell$. To begin we notice that the quotient of the Hermitian curve by a certain automorphism has a plane model of type $F(y) = x^{\ell+1}$, F being an additive polynomial. These curves provide examples of maximal curves for this case. It has been conjectured in [FGT] that X is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -isomorphic to the above plane model with $\deg(F) = m$; the fact that $g = \ell(m-1)/2$ may provide evidence for this conjecture. Next we state another proof of this fact, where is implicitly outlined a method to find a plane model for X :

By Theorem 2.4 we can assume $n > 1$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}(X)$ such that $\text{div}_\infty(x) = m$ and $\text{div}_\infty(y) = \ell + 1$.

Claim. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$, $\#x^{-1}(\alpha) = m$ and $x^{-1}(\alpha) \subseteq X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$.

This implies $g = \ell(m-1)/2$ because $\deg(\text{div}(x^{\ell^2} - x)) = 0$ gives $\ell^2 + 2\ell g = \ell^2 m$.

The claim follows from two facts:

Fact 1. For each $P \neq P_1$, $\#x^{-1}(x(P)) = m$.

Proof. (Fact 1) Let $P \neq P_1$ and for $x(P) = \alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell^2}$ set $e = v_P(x - \alpha)$. We have to show that $e = 1$. Writing $\text{div}(x - \alpha) = eP + D_P - mP_1$ with $P \notin \text{supp}(D_P)$, we then see that $e, \dots, en$ are $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders. If $e > 1$, then $j_{n+1}(P) = en$ because 1 is a $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders (cf. §2.1). This implies $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ and so $e = m$ because $\ell = mn$. Consequently $mP \sim mP_1$ so that $\ell P \sim \ell P_1$. Then by (2.1) we get $\text{Fr}_X(P) \sim P_1$, a contradiction because $g > 0$. This finish the proof of Fact 1. $\square$

Let $P \neq P_1$. From the above proof, the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders are $0, 1, \dots, n, \ell + 1$ (resp. $0, 1, \dots, n, \ell$) if $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ (resp. $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$). Hence the $\mathcal{D}$ -orders are $0, 1, \dots, n, \ell$ so that $\text{supp}(R^{\mathcal{D}}) = X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ with $v_P(R^{\mathcal{D}}) = 1$, $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) \setminus \{P_1\}$, cf. (1.1).

Now the morphism π associated to $\mathcal{D}$ can be defined by $(1 : x : \dots : x^n : y)$; so $v_P(D^\ell y) = 1$ for $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}) \setminus P_1$ and $v_P(D^\ell y) = 0$ for $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})$ (derivation with respect to x); cf. §1.1.

Fact 2. *There exists $f \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}(X)$ regular outside P_1 such that $D^\ell y = f(x^{\ell^2} - x)$.*

Proof. (Fact 2) By (1.4) the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ -Frobenius orders are $0, 1, \dots, n-1, \ell$. Hence (cf. §1.2)

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{\ell^2} & \dots & x^{\ell^2 n} & y^{\ell^2} \\ 1 & x & \dots & x^n & y \\ 0 & D^1 x & \dots & D^1 x^n & D^1 y \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & D^n x & \dots & D^n x^n & D^n y \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and so

$$y - y^{\ell^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i - x^{i\ell^2}) h_i$$

with $h_i \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}(X)$ regular in $X \setminus \{P_1\}$ for each i . Fact 2 now follows by applying D^ℓ to the above equation and using the following properties:

- For r a power of a prime we have $D^j f^r = (D^{j/r} f)^r$ if $r \mid j$ and $D^j f^r = 0$ otherwise;
- the fact that n is a power of a prime implies $D^i x^n = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$;
- $D^i \circ D^j = \binom{i+j}{i} D^{i+j}$.

$\square$

3. ON THE DELIGNE-LUSZTIG CURVE ASSOCIATED TO THE SUZUKI GROUP

In this section we prove Theorem 2 stated in the introduction. Throughout we let $q_0 := 2^s$, $q := 2q_0$, $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ a curve of genus g with

$$g = q_0(q-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^2 + 1.$$

Then, by Serre-Weil's explicit formulae (cf. [Se], [Han]), the h -polynomial of X is $(t^2 + 2q_0t + q)^g$. Hence, by §1.3, X is equipped with the base-point-free simple linear system $\mathcal{D} := |(q + 2q_0 + 1)P_0|$, with $P_0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Here for each $P \in X$, (1.6) reads

$$(3.1) \quad qP + 2q_0\mathrm{Fr}_X(P) + \mathrm{Fr}_X^2(P) \sim (q + 2q_0 + 1)P_0.$$

We notice that X satisfies the hypothesis in Lemma 1.1 and (Z) in §1.3. As a first consequence we have the

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be as above. Then for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $m_1(P) = q$.*

Next we apply [SV] to $\mathcal{D}$; we keep the notation in §1 and set $r := \dim(\mathcal{D})$. The key property of $\mathcal{D}$ will be the fact that $\mathrm{Fr}_X(P)$ belongs to the tangent line for P generic (Lemma 3.4(1)). For $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, Lemma 1.3(1) gives $m_r(P) = q + 2q_0 + 1$ and

$$(3.2) \quad j_i(P) = m_r(P) - m_{r-i}(P) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, r.$$

This together with Lemma 3.1 imply

$$(3.3) \quad j_r = (P) = q + 2q_0 + 1, \quad j_{r-1}(P) = 2q_0 + 1 \quad (P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

By Lemma 1.3(3) $1, 2q_0, q$ are $\mathcal{D}$ -orders, so $r \geq 3$ and $\epsilon_1 = 1$. By Corollary 1.5(1) and (1.1)(b),

$$(3.4) \quad \epsilon_r = \nu_{r-1} = q \quad \text{and} \quad 2q_0 \leq \epsilon_{r-1} \leq 1 + 2q_0.$$

Lemma 3.2. $\epsilon_{r-1} = 2q_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\epsilon_{r-1} > 2q_0$. Then, by (3.4), $\epsilon_{r-2} = 2q_0$ and $\epsilon_{r-1} = 2q_0 + 1$. By (1.4) and (3.3), $\nu_{r-2} \leq 2q_0 = \epsilon_{r-2}$. Thus the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius orders of $\mathcal{D}$ would be $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r-2}$, and ϵ_r . By (1.5) and (1.4), for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$

$$(3.5) \quad v_P(S) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (j_i(P) - \nu_{i-1}) \geq (r-1)j_1(P) + 1 + 2q_0 \geq r + 2q_0,$$

Thus $\deg(S) \geq (r + 2q_0)\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and from (1.3), the identities $2g - 2 = (2q_0 - 2)(q + 2q_0 + 1)$ and $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q - 2q_0 + 1)(q + 2q_0 + 1)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \nu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \epsilon_i \geq (r-1)q_0.$$

Now, as $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \leq \epsilon_{i+j}$ for $i + j \leq r$ [E, Thm. 1], then we would have

$$(r-1)\epsilon_{r-2} \geq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \epsilon_i,$$

and hence $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_{r-2-i} = \epsilon_{r-2}$ for $i = 0, \dots, r-2$. In particular, $\epsilon_{r-3} = 2q_0 - 1$ and by the p -adic criterion (cf. [SV, Corollary 1.9]) we would have $\epsilon_i = i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-3$. These facts imply $r = 2q_0 + 2$. Finally, we are going to see that this is a contradiction

via Castelnuovo's genus bound [C], [ACGH, p. 116], [Ra, Corollary 2.8]. Castelnuovo's formula applied to $\mathcal{D}$ implies

$$2g = 2q_0(q-1) \leq \frac{(q+2q_0-(r-1)/2)^2}{r-1}.$$

For $r = 2q_0+2$ this gives $2q_0(q-1) < (q+q_0)^2/2q_0 = q_0q+q/2+q_0/2$, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} j_1(P_1) = 1 \\ j_i(P_1) = \nu_{i-1} + 1 \quad \text{if } i = 2, \dots, r-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (3.5), it is enough to show that there exists $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $v_{P_1}(S) = r+2q_0$. Suppose that $v_P(S) \geq r+2q_0+1$ for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then by (1.3) we would have that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \nu_i \geq q + rq_0 + 1,$$

and, as $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\nu_{r-1} = q$ and $\nu_i \leq \epsilon_{i+1}$, that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \epsilon_i \geq rq_0 + 2.$$

By [E, Thm. 1] (or [Ho]), we then would conclude that $r\epsilon_{r-1} \geq 2rq_0+4$, i.e. $\epsilon_{r-1} > 2rq_0$, a contradiction with the previous lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. 1. $\nu_1 > \epsilon_1 = 1$.

2. ϵ_2 is a power of two.

Proof. Statement (2) is consequence of the p -adic criterion [SV, Corollary 1.9]. Suppose that $\nu_1 = 1$. Then by Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3, (3.3) and (3.2) there would be a point $P_1 \in X$ such that $H(P_1)$ would contain the semigroup $H := \langle q, q+2q_0-1, q+2q_0, q+2q_0+1 \rangle$. Then $g \leq \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus H)$, a contradiction as follows from the remark below. $\square$

Remark. Let H be the semigroup defined above. We are going to show that $\tilde{g} := \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus H) = g - q_0^2/4$. To begin, notice that $L := \cup_{i=1}^{2q_0-1} L_i$ is a complete system of residues module q , where

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \{iq + i(2q_0 - 1) + j : j = 0, \dots, 2i\} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq q_0 - 1, \\ L_{q_0} &= \{q_0q + q - q_0 + j : j = 0, \dots, q_0 - 1\}, \\ L_{q_0+1} &= \{(q_0 + 1)q + 1 + j : j = 0, \dots, q_0 - 1\}, \\ L_{q_0+i} &= \{(q_0 + i)q + (2i - 3)q_0 + i - 1 + j : j = 0, \dots, q_0 - 2i + 1\} \cup \\ &\quad \{(q_0 + i)q + (2i - 2)q_0 + i + j : j = 0, \dots, q_0 - 1\} \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq q_0/2, \\ L_{3q_0/2+i} &= \{(3q_0/2 + i)q + (q_0/2 + i - 1)(2q_0 - 1) + q_0 + 2i - 1 + j : \\ &\quad j = 0, \dots, q_0 - 2i - 1\} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq q_0/2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each $\ell \in L$, $\ell \in H$ and $\ell - q \notin H$. Hence $\tilde{g}$ can be computed by summing up the coefficients of q from the above list (see e.g. [Sel, Thm. p.3]), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g} = & \sum_{i=1}^{q_0-1} i(2i+1) + q_0^2 + (q_0+1)q_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{q_0/2} (q_0+i)(2q_0-2i+2) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{q_0/2-1} (3q_0/2+i)(q_0-2i) = q_0(q-1) - q_0^2/4. \end{aligned}$$

In the remaining part of this section let $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be a point satisfying Lemma 3.3; we set $m_i := m_i(P_1)$ and denote by v the valuation at P_1 .

The item (1) of the last lemma implies $\nu_i = \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Therefore from (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 3.3,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} m_i = 2q_0 + q - \epsilon_{r-i} & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, r-2 \\ m_{r-1} = 2q_0 + q, \quad m_r = 1 + 2q_0 + q. \end{cases}$$

Let $x, y_2, \dots, y_r \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ be such that $\text{div}_\infty(x) = m_1 P_1$, and $\text{div}_\infty(y_i) = m_i P_1$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. The fact that $\nu_1 > 1$ means that the following matrix has rank two (cf. §1.2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^q & y_2^q & \dots & y_r^q \\ 1 & x & y_2 & \dots & y_r \\ 0 & 1 & D^{(1)}y_2 & \dots & D^{(1)}y_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular,

$$(3.7) \quad y_i^q - y_i = D^{(1)}y_i(x^q - x) \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, r.$$

Lemma 3.5. 1. For $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the divisor $(2g-2)P$ is canonical, i.e. the Weierstrass semigroup at P is symmetric.

2. Let $m \in H(P_1)$. If $m < q + 2q_0$, then $m \leq q + q_0$.

3. For $i = 2, \dots, r$ there exists $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ such that $D^{(1)}y_i = g_i^{\epsilon_2}$. Furthermore, $\text{div}_\infty(g_i) = \frac{qm_i - q^2}{\epsilon_2} P_1$.

Proof. (1) Since $2g-2 = (2q_0-2)(q+2q_0+1)$, by (3.1) we can assume $P = P_1$. Now the case $i = r$ of Eqs. (3.7) implies $v(dx) = 2g-2$ and we are done.

(2) By (3.6), $q, q+2q_0$ and $q+2q_0+1 \in H(P_1)$. Then the numbers

$$(2q_0-2)q + q - 4q_0 + j \quad j = 0, \dots, q_0-2$$

are also non-gaps at P_1 . Therefore, by the symmetry of $H(P_1)$,

$$q + q_0 + 1 + j \quad j = 0, \dots, q_0-2$$

are gaps at P_1 and the proof follows.

(3) Set $f_i := D^{(1)}y_i$. By the product rule applied to (3.7), $D^{(j)}y_i = (x^q - x)D^{(j)}f_i + D^{(j-1)}f_i$ for $1 \leq j < q$. Then, $D^{(j)}f_i = 0$ for $1 \leq j < \epsilon_2$,

because the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y_2 & \dots & y_r \\ 0 & 1 & D^{(1)}y_2 & \dots & D^{(1)}y_r \\ 0 & 0 & D^{(j)}y_2 & \dots & D^{(j)}y_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad 2 \leq j < \epsilon_2$$

have rank two (cf. §1.1). Consequently, as ϵ_2 is a power of 2 (Lemma 3.4(2)), by [Ha-Sch, Satz 10], $f_i = g_i^{\epsilon_2}$ for some $g_i \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. Finally, from the proof of item (1) we have that $x - x(P)$ is a local parameter at P if $P \neq P_1$. Then, by the election of the y_i 's, g_i has no pole but in P_1 , and from (3.7), $v(g_i) = -(qm_i - q^2)/\epsilon_2$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. $r = 4$ and $\epsilon_2 = q_0$.

Proof. We know that $r \geq 3$; we claim that $r \geq 4$; in fact, if $r = 3$ we would have $\epsilon_2 = 2q_0$, $m_1 = q$, $m_2 = q + 2q_0$, $m_3 = q + 2q_0 + 1$, and hence $v(g_2) = -q$ (g_2 being as in Lemma 3.5(3)). Therefore, after some $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear transformations, the case $i = 2$ of (3.7) reads

$$y_2^q - y_2 = x^{2q_0}(x^q - x).$$

Now the function $z := y_2^{q_0} - x^{q_0+1}$ satisfies $z^q - z = x^{q_0}(x^q - x)$ and we find that $q_0 + q$ is a non-gap at P_1 (cf. [Han-Sti, Lemma 1.8]). This contradiction eliminates the case $r = 3$.

Let $r \geq 4$ and $2 \leq i \leq r$. By Lemma 3.5(3) $(qm_i - q^2)/\epsilon_2 \in H(P_1)$, and since $(qm_i - q^2)/\epsilon_2 \geq m_{i-1} \geq q$, by (3.6) we have

$$2q_0 \geq \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_{r-i} \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, r-2.$$

In particular, $\epsilon_2 \leq q_0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5(2) we must have $m_{r-2} \leq q + q_0$ and so, by (3.6), we find that $\epsilon_2 \geq q_0$, i.e. $\epsilon_2 = q_0$.

Finally we show that $r = 4$. $\epsilon_2 = q_0$ implies $\epsilon_{r-2} \leq q_0$. Since $m_2 \leq q + q_0$ (cf. Lemma 3.5(2)), by (3.6), we have $\epsilon_{r-2} \geq q_0$. Therefore $\epsilon_{r-2} = q_0 = \epsilon_2$, i.e. $r = 4$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be as above. By (3.7), Lemma 3.5(3) and Lemma 3.6 we have the following equation

$$y_2^q - y_2 = g_2^{q_0}(x^q - x),$$

where g_2 has no pole except at P_1 . Moreover, by (3.6), $m_2 = q_0 + q$ and so $v(g_2) = -q$ (cf. Lemma 3.5(3)). Thus $g_2 = ax + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \neq 0$, and after some $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear transformations we obtain Theorem 2.

Remarks. (1) From the above computations we conclude that the Deligne-Lusztig curve associated to the Suzuki group X is equipped with a complete simple base-point-free $g_{q+2q_0+1}^4$, namely $\mathcal{D} = |(q + 2q_0 + 1)P_0|$, $P_0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Such a linear system is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -invariant. The orders of $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Frobenius orders) are 0, 1, q_0 , $2q_0$ and q (resp. 0, q_0 , $2q_0$ and q).

(2) There exists $P_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that the $(\mathcal{D}, P_1)$ -orders are $0, 1, q_0 + 1, 2q_0 + 1$ and $q + 2q_0 + 1$ (Lemma 3.3). Now we show that the above sequence is, in fact, the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders for each $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. To see this, notice that

$$\deg(S) = (3q_0 + q)(2g - 2) + (q + 4)(q + 2q_0 + 1) = (4 + 2q_0)\#X(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Let $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By (3.5), we conclude that $v_P(S^{\mathcal{D}}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 (j_i(P) - \nu_{i-1}) = 4 + 2q_0$ and so, by (1.4), that $j_1(P) = 1$, $j_2(P) = q_0 + 1$, $j_3(P) = 2q_0 + 1$, and $j_4(P) = q + 2q_0 + 1$.

(3) Then, by (3.2) $H(P)$, $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, contains the semigroup $H := \langle q, q + q_0, q + 2q_0, q + 2q_0 + 1 \rangle$. Indeed, $H(P) = H$ since $\#(\mathbb{N} \setminus H) = g = q_0(q - 1)$ (this can be proved as in the remark after Lemma 3.4; see also [Han-Sti, Appendix]).

(4) We have

$$\deg(R^{\mathcal{D}}) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \epsilon_i(2g - 2) + 5(q + 2q_0 + 1) = (2q_0 + 3)\#X(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

for $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $v_P(R^{\mathcal{D}}) = 2q_0 + 3$ as follows from items (1), (2) and (1.1). Therefore the set of $\mathcal{D}$ -Weierstrass points of X is equal to $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In particular, the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders for $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are $0, 1, q_0, 2q_0$ and q .

(5) We can use the above computations to obtain information on orders for the canonical morphism. By using the fact that $(2q_0 - 2)\mathcal{D}$ is canonical (cf. Lemma 3.5(1)) and item (4), we see that the set $\{a + q_0b + 2q_0c + qd : a + b + c + d \leq 2q_0 - 2\}$ is contained in the set of orders for $\mathcal{K}_X$ at non-rational points. (By considering first order differentials on X , similar computations were obtained in [G-Sti, §4].)

(6) Finally, we remark that X is non-classical for the canonical morphism: we have two different proofs for this fact: loc. cit. and [FGT, Prop. 1.8]).

Appendix: A remark on the Suzuki-Tits ovoid

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_0 := 2^s$ and $q := 2q_0$. It is well known that the Suzuki-Tits ovoid $\mathcal{O}$ can be represented in $\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as

$$\mathcal{O} = \{(1 : a : b : f(a, b) : af(a, b) + b^2) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\},$$

where $f(a, b) := a^{2q_0+1} + b^{2q_0}$ (see [Tits], [Pen-W, p.3])

Let X be the Deligne-Lusztig curve associated to $Sz(q)$ and $\mathcal{D} = |(q + 2q_0 + 1)P_0|$, $P_0 \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (see §3). By the Remark (item 3) in §3, we can associate to $\mathcal{D}$ a morphism $\pi = (1 : x : y : z : w)$ whose coordinates satisfy $\text{div}_{\infty}(x) = qP_0$, $\text{div}_{\infty}(y) = (q + q_0)P_0$, $\text{div}_{\infty}(z) = (q + 2q_0)P_0$ and $\text{div}_{\infty}(w) = q + 2q_0 + 1$.

Claim. (A. Cossidente) $\mathcal{O} = \pi(X(\mathbb{F}_q))$.

Proof. We have $\pi(P_0) = (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$; we can choose x and y satisfying $y^q - y = x^{q_0}(x^q - x)$, $z := x^{2q_0+1} + y^{2q_0}$, and $w := xy^{2q_0} + z^{2q_0} = xy^{2q_0} + x^{2q+2q_0} + y^{2q}$ (cf.

[Han-Sti, §1.7]). For $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{P_0\}$ set $a := x(P)$, $b := y(P)$, and $f(a, b) := z(a, b)$. Then $w(a, b) = af(a, b) + b^2$ and we are done. $\square$

Remark. The morphism π is an embedding. Indeed, since $j_1(P) = 1$ for each P (cf. Remarks §3(2)(4)), it is enough to see that π is injective. By (3.1), the points P where π could not be injective satisfy: $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $\text{Fr}_X^3(P) = P$ or $\text{Fr}_X^2(P) = P$. Now from the Zeta function of X one sees that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^3}) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and the remark follows.

Remark. From the claim, (3.1) and [Henn] we have

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \cong \{A \in PGL(5, q) : A\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}\}.$$

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] Arbarello, E.; Cornalba, M.; Griffiths, P.A. and Harris, J.: Geometry of algebraic curves, Vol I, Springer Verlag, New York 1985.
- [Car-He] Carbonne, P.; Henocq, T.: Décomposition de la Jacobienne sur les corps finis, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **42**(3), 207–215 (1994).
- [C] Castelnuovo, G.: Ricerche di geometria sulle curve algebriche, Atti. R. Acad. Sci. Torino **24**, 196–223 (1889).
- [Co-K] Cossidente, A.; Korchmaros, G.: e-mail letter.
- [De-Lu] Deligne, P.; Lusztig: Representations of reductive groups over finite fields, Ann. of Math. **103**, 103–161 (1976).
- [E] Esteves, E.: A geometric proof of an inequality of order sequences, Comm. Algebra **21**(1), 231–238 (1993).
- [Far-Kra] Farkas, H.M.; Kra, I.: Riemann surfaces, Grad. Texts in Math. Vol. 71, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1992.
- [F] Fuhrmann, R.: Algebraische Funktionenkörper über endlichen Körpern mit maximaler Anzahl rationaler Stellen, Dissertation, Universität GH Essen 1995.
- [FT] Fuhrmann, R.; Torres, F.: The genus of curves over finite fields with many rational points, Manuscripta Math. **89**, 103–106 (1996).
- [FGT] Fuhrmann, R.; Garcia, A.; Torres, F.: On maximal curves, to appear in J. Number Theory.
- [G-Sti] Garcia, A.; Stichtenoth, H.: Elementary abelian p -extensions of algebraic functions fields, Manuscripta Math. **72**, 67–79 (1991).
- [GT] Garcia, A.; Torres, F.: On maximal curves having classical Weierstrass gaps, work in progress.
- [G-Vi] Garcia, A.; Viana, P.: Weierstrass points on certain non-classical curves, Arch. Math. **46**, 315–322 (1986).
- [Geer-Vl] van der Geer, G.; van der Vlugt, M.: How to construct curves over finite fields with many points, alg-geom/ 9511005.
- [Go] Goppa, V.D.: Algebraic-Geometric codes, Math. USSR-Izv. **21** (1), 75–91 (1983).
- [Han] Hansen, J.P.: Deligne-Lusztig varieties and group codes, Lect. Notes Math. **1518**, 63–81 (1992).
- [Han-P] Hansen, J.P.; Pedersen, J.P.: Automorphism group of Ree type, Deligne-Lusztig curves and function fields, J. reine angew. Math. **440**, 99–109 (1993).
- [Han-Sti] Hansen, J.P.; Stichtenoth, H.: Group codes on certain algebraic curves with many rational points, AAECC **1**, 67–77 (1990).

- [Har] Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic Geometry, Grad. Texts in Math. Vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1977.
- [Ha-Sch] Hasse, H.; Schmidt, F.K.: Noch eine Begründung der Theorie der höheren Differentialquotienten in einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper einer Unbestimmten, J. reine angew. Math. **177**, 215–237 (1937).
- [Hef-V] Hefez, A.; Voloch, J.F.: Frobenius non-classical curves, Arch. Math. **54**, 263–273 (1990).
- [Henn] Henn H.W.: Funktionenkörper mit großer Automorphismengruppe, J. reine angew. Math. **302**, 96–115 (1978).
- [HK] Hirschfeld, J.W.P.; Korchmaros, G.: On the number of rational points of curves over finite fields, preprint (1996).
- [HSTV] Hirschfeld, J.W.P.; Storme, L.; Thas, J.A. and Voloch, J.F.: A characterization of Hermitian curves, J. Geom. **41**, 72–78 (1991).
- [Ho] Homma, M.: Linear systems on curves with no Weierstrass points, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. (N.S.) **23**(1-2), 93–108 (1992).
- [I] Ibukiyama, T.: On rational points of curves of genus 3 over finite fields, Tôhoku Math. J. **45**, 311–329 (1993).
- [Ih] Ihara, Y.: Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields, J. Fac. Sci. Tokio **28**, 721–724 (1981).
- [Le] Lewittes, J.: Places of degree one in function fields over finite fields, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **69**, 177–183 (1990).
- [Lak] Laksov, D.: Weierstrass points on curves, Astérisque **87–88**, 221–247 (1981).
- [L] Lang, S.: Abelian Varieties, Interscience Pub., New York 1959.
- [P] Pedersen, J.P.: A function field related to the Ree group, Lect. Notes Math. **1518**, 122–131 (1992).
- [Pen-W] Penttila, T.; Williams, B.: Ovoids of parabolic spaces, preprint (1997).
- [Pf] Pflaum, U.: The canonical constellations of k -Weierstrass points, Manuscripta Math. **59**, 21–34 (1987).
- [Ra] Rathmann, J.: The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p , Math. Ann. **276**, 565–579 (1987).
- [R-Sti] Rück, H.G.; Stichtenoth, H.: A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields, J. reine angew. Math. **457**, 185–188 (1994).
- [Sch] Schmidt, F.K.: Zur arithmetischen Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen II. Allgemeine Theorie der Weierstrasspunkte, Math. Z. **45**, 75–96 (1939).
- [Sel] Selmer, E.S.: On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, J. reine angew. Math. **293/294**, 1–17 (1977).
- [Se] Serre, J.P.: Sur le nombre des points rationnels d’une courbe algébrique sur un corps fini, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **296**, 397–402 (1983).
- [Sti-X] Stichtenoth, H.; Xing, C.: The genus of maximal functions fields, Manuscripta Math. **86**, 217–224 (1995).
- [SV] Stöhr, K.O.; Voloch, J.F.: Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **52**, 1–19 (1986).
- [Tate] Tate, J.: Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields, Inventiones Math. **2**, 134–144 (1966).
- [Tits] Tits, J.: Ovoïdes et groupes de Suzuki, Arch. Math. **13**, 187–198 (1962).
- [T] Torres, F.: A characterization of some optimal curves, Finite Geometry and Combinatorics, Third International Conference at Deirze, May 1997.

UNIVERSITÄT GH ESSEN, FB 6 MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, D-45117 ESSEN, GERMANY

IMECC-UNICAMP, CAMPINAS-13083-970-SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: RAINER.FUHRMANN@ZENTRALE.DEUTSCHE-BANK.dbp.de

E-mail address: ftorres@ime.unicamp.br